UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
		District of	NEBRASKA	
•	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
	V.	ORDE	R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	MICHAEL C. ELMA	Case	4:05CR3099	
	Defendant		the state of the second st	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
Part I—Findings of Fact				
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described or local offense that would have been a federal offense a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3	ense if a circumstance giving 3156(a)(4).		
	an offense for which a maximum term of impr		re is prescribed in	
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in*			
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the			
_	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
Y (1)	Alternative Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
x (1)	for which a maximum term of imp under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	risonment of ten year	s or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq.	
X (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est the appearance of the defendant as required and the	e safety of the community.	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	TOL in a continue state of the state of	Alternative Findings (B)		
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	nger the safety of another pe	rson or the community [7] LED US DISTRICT COURT	
			DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA	
			SEP 2 # 2005	
			OFFICE OF THE OUTER	
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that Def. will be released as soonas electronic				
monetoring equipment is installed				
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention				
to the ex reasonab Governm	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne stent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving ble opportunity for private consultation with defens	y General or his designated re sentences or being held in one se counsel. On order of a co	epresentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a purt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the o the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
9.27.05 Date Merch Signature of Judicial Officer				
	David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge			
	Name and Title of Judicial Officer			

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).